

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/989,111	Applicant(s) PRADHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Joseph R. Maniwang	Art Unit 2144	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Joseph R. Maniwang.

(3) SPE William Vaughn.

(2) Attorney Randy A. Noranbrock.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12/20/07

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 44, 46

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

WILLIAM VAUGHN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
 PTOL-800 (Rev. 8-03)
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed proposed claim amendments to address minor informalities regarding claims 1 and 44 and intended use issues regarding claim 46. Applicant authorized Examiner's Amendment of these claims to address the issues in order to place the claims in condition for allowance.